



GRANT SCORING MATRIX

Name of Organization: _____

Proposed Project: _____

Key Contact: _____

Cell: _____ **Email:** _____

Proposed Amount Requested: _____

Percentage of Total Budget Available: _____/100%

Total Points: _____/100

Circle One:

Fully Funded. Partially Funded with restrictions. Not funded.

Restrictions: _____

Committee Scoring:

Name: _____ **Total Points:** _____/100

Name: _____ **Total Points:** _____/100

Name: _____ **Total Points:** _____/100

Name: _____ **Total Points:** _____/100

Name: _____ **Total Points:** _____/100

Impact organization has on community; impact measurement? (15 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1 - 5 points	6 - 9 points	10 - 15 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Project's Excellence & Merit (15 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1-5 points	6 - 9 points	10 - 15 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Project Significance: High Volume but lower significance or, Small Volume & high significance. (10 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1-3 points	4-6 points	7-10 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Administrative and overhead expenses reasonable (10 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1-3 points	4-6 points	7-10 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Project's Budget clear and complete (10 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1-3 points	4-6 points	7-10 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Implementation, Timeline & Evaluation Clear (10 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1-3 points	4-6 points	7-10 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Organizations with greatest need (15 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1 - 5 points	6 - 9 points	10 - 15 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Community Involvement & Volunteers utilized (10 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1-3 points	4-6 points	7-10 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>

Visibility for the Foundation (5 possible points)

Poor	Average	Excellent
1-2 points	3 points	5 points
<p>Responses are not fully formed. Project is difficult to understand.</p> <p>Responses only partially respond to the criteria and lack detail.</p> <p>Strategies seem under- developed.</p>	<p>Responses address the criteria.</p> <p>Descriptions allow panelists to understand the project.</p> <p>Strategies are effective.</p>	<p>Responses are fully developed, providing information and details directly addressing the criteria.</p> <p>The project is described very clearly and exceeds expectations.</p> <p>Strategies and choices are exemplary, demonstrating excellent planning and leadership.</p>